#### REMARKS

### Amendments to the Claims:

Claim 17 has been canceled. Claim 18 has been amended to be in independent form and to include all of the limitations of claim 17, which is the base claim from which claim 18 previously depended. Amendments to claim 18 also include deletion of the word, "first," which has become moot in view of previous amendments to the claims. Claim 19 has been amended to add the word, "and" to the eighth line of that claim.

# **Allowable Subject Matter:**

Claims 1-16 and 18-21 have been indicated as allowable. However, claim 18 has been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 18 has been amended as indicated herein above to be in independent form and to include all of the limitations of claim 17, which is the base claim from which claim 18 previously depended. The Applicant therefore contends that claim 18 is now in allowable form.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-16 and 18-21 are allowable over the prior art of record because none of the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests a system or method for determining whether the cartridge stamp needs to be updated and then updating it accordingly if necessary, wherein the cartridge stamp is stored in a cartridge memory located within a tape cartridge.

The Applicant agrees with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability, without necessarily agreeing with, or acquiescing in, the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, the Applicant believes that the claims are allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate, or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.

#### Rejection of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. § 102:

Claim 17 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,571,304 to Basham et al.

Claim 17 has been canceled as indicated herein above. The rejection of claim 17 is therefore moot.

Application No. 09/881,778 Docket No. 10002156-1 Response to 2<sup>nd</sup> Office Action

1

234

**4** 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

## SUMMARY

The Applicant believes this response constitutes a full and complete reply to the Office action mailed on 02/17/2005. The Applicant further believes that claims 1-16 and 18-21 are in allowable form, and that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted, Steve Jerman, Applicant

Date: May 16, 2005

Thomas Olson Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 44,271 (509) 327-4748

hanas

Application No. 09/881,778 Docket No. 10002156-1 Response to 2<sup>nd</sup> Office Action